OPEN ACCESS
Engineering Science & Technology Journal
P-ISSN: 2708-8944, E-ISSN: 2708-8952
Volume 5, Issue 12, P.No. 3221-3231, December 2024
DOI: 10.51594/estj.v5i12.1754
Fair East Publishers
Journal Homepage: www.fepbl.com/index.php/estj

Sustainable catalysis: A holistic framework for lifecycle analysis and circular economy integration in catalyst design

Matluck Ayomide Afolabi[1], Henry Chukwuemeka, Olisakwe[2], & Thompson Odion Igunma[3]

[1]The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA
[2]Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria
[3]Independent Researcher, Florida, USA

*Corresponding Author: Matluck Ayomide Afolabi
Corresponding Author Email: matluckaa@gmail.com

Article Received: 06-08-24 **Accepted:** 15-10-24 **Published:** 06-12-24

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a holistic framework for advancing sustainable practices in catalyst design, emphasizing the integration of lifecycle analysis (LCA), recyclability, and circular economy principles. Catalysts are critical in numerous industrial processes, but their production, use, and disposal often lead to significant environmental impacts. The proposed framework advocates for LCA as a tool to assess and minimize these impacts across the catalyst lifecycle. It highlights strategies for enhancing recyclability through advanced material designs and innovative regeneration technologies, addressing challenges of cost and technical feasibility. Furthermore, the paper explores the integration of circular economy principles, showcasing closed-loop systems in industries like petroleum refining and semiconductor manufacturing. These systems demonstrate the potential for substantial environmental and economic benefits by recovering and reintroducing spent catalysts into production cycles. Recommendations for industries and policymakers include adopting lifecycle thinking, investing in advanced recycling technologies, and establishing regulatory and economic incentives. The framework provides actionable insights to guide industries toward sustainability while ensuring high-performance catalytic processes.

Keywords: Sustainable Catalysis, Lifecycle Analysis (LCA), Circular Economy, Catalyst Recyclability, Closed-Loop Systems, Industrial Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

Catalysts play a vital role in numerous industrial processes, from energy production to pharmaceuticals, by accelerating chemical reactions and reducing energy requirements. Despite their contributions to efficiency, the lifecycle of catalysts poses significant sustainability challenges (Kar, Sanderson, Roy, Benfenati, & Leszczynski, 2021). The production of catalytic materials often relies on scarce or non-renewable resources, such as platinum group metals, which have high environmental extraction costs (Zhang, He, Ding, Shi, & Wu, 2024). The energy-intensive manufacturing processes can also generate significant greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants. Once used, spent catalysts frequently end up as waste, leading to the loss of valuable materials and potential environmental contamination through improper disposal (Hart, 2023).

Another critical issue lies in the scalability of sustainable solutions in catalyst design. While many advanced materials and recycling technologies have been proposed, their practical implementation in industrial-scale operations remains limited. Economic constraints, technical limitations, and a lack of standardized sustainability metrics hamper the integration of these solutions. These challenges underline the urgent need for innovative approaches to catalyst design that prioritize environmental sustainability while maintaining industrial performance (Hegab, Shaban, Jamil, & Khanna, 2023).

Lifecycle Analysis (LCA) offers a comprehensive framework for assessing the environmental impacts of catalysts at every stage of their lifecycle—from raw material extraction and production to usage and disposal (Gupta, Patel, & Mondal, 2022). By quantifying these impacts, LCA helps identify hotspots of resource inefficiency and environmental degradation, providing a data-driven basis for improvement. For instance, LCA can reveal the carbon footprint of mining operations for raw materials or the energy consumption during the manufacturing phase. This information empowers industries to make informed decisions about sustainable material sourcing and energy-efficient production methods (Sayyah et al., 2022).

Equally significant is the concept of the circular economy, which seeks to replace the traditional linear model of production and disposal with a regenerative approach. In the context of catalysts, the circular economy emphasizes recovering, recycling, and reusing spent materials. For example, catalytic materials can be designed with end-of-life considerations, making them easier to regenerate or incorporate into new products. This shift reduces waste, conserves resources, and decreases the overall environmental burden associated with catalyst production and disposal. LCA and circular economy principles form the foundation of a more sustainable approach to catalyst design, aligning with broader goals of environmental stewardship and resource efficiency (Esmaeili, 2022).

This paper aims to address the pressing sustainability challenges in catalyst design by proposing a conceptual framework that integrates lifecycle analysis and circular economy principles. The framework emphasizes three key elements:

- Identifying tools and metrics to evaluate the environmental impact of catalysts across their lifecycle stages.

- Developing strategies for designing catalysts that can be easily recycled, regenerated, or reused, thereby minimizing resource depletion and waste generation.
- Proposing systems to recover and reintroduce spent catalysts into the production cycle, creating a closed-loop process that reduces environmental impact while enhancing economic viability.

Through this holistic framework, the paper seeks to provide actionable guidelines for industries and researchers aiming to adopt sustainable practices in catalyst design. The proposed approach is not limited to conceptual discussions but also includes hypothetical applications in key industries such as petroleum refining and semiconductor manufacturing. The framework demonstrates the potential for significant environmental impact reductions without compromising performance or profitability by focusing on these sectors.

LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS IN CATALYST DESIGN

LCA Principles Specific to Catalysts

Lifecycle Analysis is a systematic approach to assess the environmental impacts of a product, process, or service throughout its entire lifecycle. In the context of catalysts, LCA evaluates the stages from raw material extraction and production to usage, regeneration, and eventual disposal. This process provides a comprehensive understanding of the environmental footprint of catalysts, enabling targeted strategies for improvement (Gulotta et al., 2023).

For catalysts, the LCA process begins with an inventory of raw materials, often including rare or precious metals like platinum, palladium, or rhodium. These materials are associated with significant environmental costs, such as habitat destruction during mining and high energy use in refining processes. The production phase includes energy-intensive synthesis techniques like high-temperature calcination or chemical vapor deposition, both of which contribute to greenhouse gas emissions. The usage phase examines the efficiency of the catalyst in reducing energy demands during chemical reactions, an aspect critical to its environmental benefit. Finally, the disposal phase considers the fate of spent catalysts, evaluating whether they end up as waste or are recycled back into the production cycle (Gulotta et al., 2022).

By analyzing these stages, LCA helps identify "hotspots" where environmental impacts are most significant. For example, it might reveal that the energy use during production outweighs the benefits achieved during the catalyst’s operational phase. Such insights allow researchers and industries to prioritize interventions, such as developing alternative materials or optimizing production methods.

Tools and Metrics for Evaluating Production, Usage, and Disposal Impacts

A successful LCA relies on robust tools and metrics to quantify environmental impacts. One widely used framework is ISO 14040/14044, which outlines the principles and guidelines for conducting LCA studies (Kiemel, Rietdorf, Schutzbach, & Miehe, 2022). Specialized software like SimaPro, GaBi, and OpenLCA enable detailed modeling and assessment of catalysts' lifecycle stages. These tools integrate large databases containing environmental data on materials, processes, and energy sources, allowing for precise calculations (Elzoghby, 2024).

Key metrics used in LCA for catalysts include:

- Global Warming Potential (GWP): Measures the total greenhouse gas emissions associated with a catalyst over its lifecycle, expressed in CO_2-equivalents.

- Cumulative Energy Demand (CED): Quantifies the total energy required throughout the lifecycle, including renewable and non-renewable energy sources.
- Ecotoxicity Potential: Evaluates the environmental risks posed by hazardous materials, such as leaching of metals from spent catalysts.
- Material Circularity Indicator (MCI): Assesses the extent to which materials can be reused, recycled, or regenerated, emphasizing circular economy principles.

During the production phase, these tools can model the environmental impacts of alternative synthesis methods. For instance, transitioning from conventional thermal processes to low-energy approaches like microwave-assisted synthesis can drastically reduce CED. Similarly, metrics can quantify a catalyst's efficiency improvements to a chemical process in the usage phase, ensuring the environmental benefits outweigh the production costs. Disposal-phase tools focus on evaluating recycling efficiency and material recovery rates, guiding strategies to minimize waste.

Key Challenges in Implementing LCA in Industrial Settings

While LCA offers valuable insights, its implementation in the industrial context faces several challenges. One significant issue is the lack of standardized data specific to catalytic materials and processes. Many existing LCA databases are generic, making it difficult to capture the unique environmental profiles of catalysts. For instance, standard datasets may not adequately represent the mining impact of rare earth metals or the emissions from specific synthesis techniques.

Another challenge is the complexity of industrial systems, where catalysts are often part of multi-step processes with interdependent variables. Capturing the environmental impact of a single catalyst within a larger process requires extensive data and advanced modeling capabilities, which can be resource-intensive. Moreover, the proprietary nature of industrial processes can restrict access to critical data, further complicating the analysis.

The dynamic nature of catalyst lifecycles also presents a barrier. Catalysts can be regenerated or reused in various applications, creating overlapping lifecycles that are difficult to model. For example, a spent catalyst from one process might serve as a feedstock for another, making it challenging to delineate lifecycle boundaries and avoid double counting environmental benefits or impacts. Finally, the economic cost of conducting comprehensive LCA studies remains a concern, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). These companies often lack the financial and technical resources needed to perform detailed assessments, limiting their ability to adopt sustainability-driven innovations (Lu et al., 2024).

To overcome these challenges, industries and researchers must collaborate on developing shared databases tailored to catalysts, incorporating specific data on materials, processes, and end-of-life options. Advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning can streamline LCA by automating data collection and analysis, reducing costs and complexity. Additionally, fostering transparency and open data sharing across industries will enhance the reliability and applicability of LCA studies, driving broader adoption of sustainable practices.

RECYCLABILITY AND REUSABILITY OF CATALYSTS

Design Strategies for Recyclable Catalysts

Designing catalysts for recyclability is a crucial step in advancing sustainable industrial practices. The goal is to create catalysts that can be easily recovered, regenerated, and reused without significant loss of performance or efficiency. One effective strategy is the incorporation of modular components in catalyst design. By structuring catalysts into separable and identifiable parts, industries can facilitate easier disassembly and targeted recovery of valuable materials. For instance, catalysts embedded in support materials like silica or alumina can be designed to enable selective separation of active components using mild chemical or thermal treatments (Hart, 2023).

Another approach is the use of alternative materials that are abundant, non-toxic, and easier to recycle. Transition metals such as iron, nickel, and cobalt are increasingly explored as replacements for precious metals like platinum and palladium. These base metals, while less efficient in some reactions, can be engineered to achieve comparable catalytic performance with the added advantage of enhanced recyclability (Trinh, Lee, Suh, & Lee, 2020).

Furthermore, the incorporation of “self-healing” properties in catalyst design has gained attention. Self-healing catalysts are capable of restoring their active sites through intrinsic mechanisms, reducing the need for external regeneration. For example, catalysts with reversible redox-active components can regenerate their functionality by undergoing controlled oxidation-reduction cycles. Such innovations extend the catalysts' lifespan and minimize the environmental impact associated with frequent replacements (Trinh et al., 2020).

Technological and Material Advancements Enabling Reusability

Technological advancements play a pivotal role in enhancing the reusability of catalysts. One notable development is the advent of nanostructured catalysts. Nanotechnology enables precise control over catalyst morphology, increasing surface area and improving active site accessibility. This enhances catalytic efficiency while reducing material consumption, making it easier to recover and reuse catalysts without significant degradation in performance. For example, platinum nanoparticles stabilized on graphene supports exhibit both high activity and robustness, allowing for multiple regeneration cycles.

Material science innovations also contribute to reusability. Porous frameworks, such as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and zeolites, have demonstrated exceptional stability and versatility in catalytic applications. These materials provide a reusable platform for embedding active catalytic sites, and their inherent structural robustness ensures longevity. Additionally, the development of robust polymeric and ceramic supports further enhances the durability and reusability of catalysts under harsh reaction conditions (Cai, Yan, Zhang, Zhou, & Jiang, 2021).

Technological solutions also extend to recovery and regeneration techniques. Processes like electrochemical deposition and thermal decomposition are increasingly refined to recover spent catalysts efficiently. For instance, electrocatalysts used in hydrogen production can be regenerated through controlled electrochemical processes that restore their active sites. Similarly, advancements in thermal treatments allow for the selective removal of deactivated layers, leaving the underlying active material intact (Delgado, Fernández-Morales, & Llanos, 2021).

Challenges in Regeneration and Integration of Recycled Materials

Despite progress in designing recyclable and reusable catalysts, significant challenges remain in their practical implementation. One major hurdle is the complexity of deactivation mechanisms. Catalysts often degrade due to multiple factors, including sintering, fouling, and poisoning by impurities. Understanding and addressing these mechanisms requires a multidisciplinary approach, combining material science, chemistry, and process engineering insights. For instance, sulfur poisoning in industrial catalysts poses a significant challenge, as the irreversible nature of the chemical bonding between sulfur and active sites complicates regeneration efforts (Lin et al., 2022).

Another issue lies in the economic feasibility of recycling processes. While technologies like pyrolysis or hydrometallurgy can recover valuable materials from spent catalysts, they are often energy-intensive and costly. The recovery yields may not justify the expenses involved, particularly for catalysts with low precious metal content. This economic barrier limits the widespread adoption of recycling practices, especially in industries with narrow profit margins (Krishnan et al., 2021).

The heterogeneity of spent catalysts further complicates recycling efforts. Catalysts used in different processes may contain varying contaminants, levels of wear, or configurations, making it challenging to establish standardized recovery protocols. For example, spent catalysts from hydrocracking in petroleum refining may contain heavy metal deposits, while organic residues may foul those used in fine chemical synthesis. Customizing regeneration techniques for each scenario increases the logistical complexity and costs associated with catalyst recycling (Huang, Veksha, Chan, Giannis, & Lisak, 2022).

Integrating recycled materials into new catalysts also presents challenges. The recycled materials often exhibit reduced purity or altered structural properties, which can compromise the performance of the newly synthesized catalyst. Addressing this requires robust quality control measures and advanced material refinement processes, which further add to the complexity and cost of recycling operations.

A combination of technological, economic, and regulatory solutions is essential to overcome these barriers. Research into advanced regeneration techniques, such as laser-assisted cleaning and plasma-based treatments, offers promising avenues for addressing complex deactivation mechanisms. These technologies provide targeted solutions for restoring catalyst activity without extensive material loss or contamination (Khadke et al., 2021).

From an economic perspective, fostering collaborative recycling networks across industries can reduce costs and enhance resource efficiency. For example, centralized recycling hubs equipped with advanced recovery technologies can serve multiple industries, creating economies of scale and driving down costs. Regulatory frameworks also play a critical role in promoting catalyst recycling and reusability. Governments and international bodies can incentivize sustainable practices through tax benefits, grants, and stricter waste management policies. Establishing standards for recyclable catalyst design and recycling practices can further streamline efforts, ensuring consistent quality and efficiency across industries (Islam, Iyer-Raniga, & Trewick, 2022).

CIRCULAR ECONOMY INTEGRATION

Conceptual Framework for Recovering and Reintroducing Spent Catalysts

The integration of circular economy principles into catalyst design and use represents a transformative approach to sustainability. Circular economy frameworks focus on minimizing waste and maximizing resource efficiency by designing closed-loop systems that recover and reintroduce spent catalysts into the production cycle.

A conceptual framework for circularity in catalysts begins with designing for recoverability, where materials and structures are optimized for easy disassembly and reprocessing. This approach includes modular catalyst designs that allow the separation of active components from supports or binders without excessive energy or chemical inputs. The next step involves the development of efficient recovery technologies to extract valuable materials from spent catalysts. Techniques such as hydrometallurgical processes, where chemical leaching is used to recover metals, or pyro-metallurgical methods, which utilize high temperatures to separate components, are critical in this stage.

Once recovered, the framework emphasizes reprocessing and reintegration of these materials into new catalysts. Advances in material science allow recovered metals and supports to be refined and repurposed without compromising their performance. For example, spent zeolite catalysts can be regenerated by removing contaminants through thermal or chemical treatments, enabling them to be reused in similar or alternative applications.

A key aspect of the framework is the establishment of industrial symbiosis, where the waste products of one industry become the input materials for another. Spent catalysts from sectors like petroleum refining can serve as feedstock for catalyst production in chemical synthesis or environmental applications. Such symbiotic relationships amplify resource efficiency and reduce dependency on virgin materials, aligning with circular economy principles.

Examples of Closed-Loop Systems in Industries

The practical implementation of circular economy principles can be observed in industries such as petroleum refining and semiconductor manufacturing, which rely heavily on catalysts.

In petroleum sector, catalysts like those used in fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) and hydrocracking are essential for converting crude oil into valuable fuels and chemicals. These catalysts often contain precious metals, such as platinum or palladium, making their recovery economically viable (Alabdullah et al., 2020). Closed-loop systems in refining industries include robust recycling programs where spent catalysts are collected, regenerated, and reintroduced into the production cycle. For instance, spent FCC catalysts can be regenerated by removing coke deposits through controlled oxidation processes, restoring their activity for reuse. Alternatively, when regeneration is not feasible, metals like vanadium and nickel are recovered through hydrometallurgical techniques, reducing the demand for virgin resources (Wu, 2023).

Catalysts play a vital role in producing advanced semiconductor materials, often utilizing rare earth elements and other critical metals. Closed-loop systems in this industry involve recovering spent materials through chemical and mechanical separation processes (Stratiotou Efstratiadis & Michailidis, 2022). For example, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) used as precursors in semiconductor production can be reprocessed to recover rare metals, which are then reintegrated into the supply chain. The high purity requirements of semiconductor manufacturing necessitate advanced purification technologies, ensuring that recycled materials meet stringent quality standards (Wang, Xue, & Zhang, 2021).

These closed-loop systems not only conserve resources but also mitigate the environmental impact of waste disposal. They exemplify how circular economy integration can drive sustainability while maintaining industrial efficiency.

Potential Environmental and Economic Benefits of Circular Systems

The adoption of circular systems for catalysts offers significant environmental and economic advantages, positioning industries to address sustainability challenges more effectively. From an environmental perspective, circular systems drastically reduce waste generation and resource depletion. For instance, the recovery and reuse of metals in catalysts reduce the demand for mining, which is associated with habitat destruction, soil erosion, and significant energy consumption. Furthermore, recycling spent catalysts minimizes the release of hazardous materials, such as heavy metals, into the environment. This is particularly critical in industries like petroleum refining, where improper disposal of spent catalysts can contaminate soil and water.

Circular systems also contribute to lowering greenhouse gas emissions. Industries can achieve substantial carbon savings by reducing the need for virgin material extraction and processing, which are often energy-intensive. For example, the regeneration of spent FCC catalysts requires significantly less energy than producing new ones, resulting in a lower carbon footprint (Meys et al., 2021).

Economically, circular systems enhance resource security by reducing reliance on scarce or geopolitically sensitive materials. Industries dependent on critical metals like platinum, palladium, and rare earth elements benefit from a stable supply of recycled materials, mitigating the risks of supply chain disruptions and price volatility. Additionally, recycling processes create opportunities for cost savings. While initial investments in recovery infrastructure can be substantial, long-term savings from reduced raw material procurement and waste management expenses outweigh these costs (Rietveld et al., 2022).

Circular systems also foster innovation and job creation. Developing and scaling advanced recovery and regeneration technologies require skilled labor, spurring employment in the green technology sector. Moreover, industries adopting circular economy practices can gain a competitive edge by differentiating themselves as sustainability leaders, appealing to environmentally conscious consumers and investors (Kandpal, Jaswal, Santibanez Gonzalez, & Agarwal, 2024).

Despite the clear benefits, the widespread adoption of circular systems faces challenges such as high upfront costs, technological barriers, and the need for supportive policy frameworks. Addressing these barriers requires a collaborative approach involving industry, government, and academia. Policies that incentivize recycling through subsidies, tax breaks, or mandates can accelerate adoption. For instance, extended producer responsibility (EPR) programs can hold manufacturers accountable for the end-of-life management of their products, encouraging them to design catalysts with recyclability in mind. Research and development funding can drive technological innovations, reducing the cost and complexity of recovery and regeneration processes.

Education and awareness campaigns are also essential to promote the importance of circular systems among stakeholders, from manufacturers to consumers. Collaborative platforms that facilitate knowledge sharing and partnerships can further enhance the effectiveness of circular economy initiatives.

CONCLUSION

This paper has outlined a comprehensive framework for enhancing sustainability in catalyst design by emphasizing lifecycle analysis (LCA), recyclability, and circular economy integration. It highlights the critical role of catalysts in industrial processes and underscores the potential to design them in ways that significantly reduce environmental impacts while maintaining performance. The discussion on LCA stresses the importance of assessing environmental hotspots across production, usage, and disposal phases, identifying areas for improvement. Simultaneously, strategies for recyclability and reusability, supported by advancements in material science, offer practical solutions for prolonging catalyst lifespan and reducing waste, albeit with cost and technical feasibility challenges.

To advance sustainable catalyst practices, industries must adopt lifecycle thinking and prioritize designs that facilitate recycling and regeneration. Investments in advanced recovery technologies and the establishment of closed-loop systems can optimize resource use and reduce costs. Policymakers also play a vital role by creating incentives such as subsidies and mandating recycling standards. Strong regulations on waste management and funding for research and development in catalyst technology can foster innovation and drive the widespread adoption of sustainable practices. Additionally, fostering collaboration among stakeholders will ensure the sharing of knowledge and resources, accelerating progress toward sustainability goals.

The shift to sustainable catalysts requires coordinated efforts and a commitment to overcoming challenges such as economic viability and technical limitations. By implementing the recommendations outlined in this paper, industries can lead the transition to environmentally responsible practices while benefiting from stabilized material supply chains and cost efficiencies. Policymakers, in turn, can support these efforts through robust policy frameworks and financial incentives. These actions will position catalysts as a cornerstone of sustainable industrial progress, balancing ecological stewardship with economic and technological advancements.

References

Alabdullah, M. A., Gomez, A. R., Vittenet, J., Bendjeriou-Sedjerari, A., Xu, W., Abba, I. A., & Gascon, J. (2020). A viewpoint on the refinery of the future: catalyst and process challenges. *ACS Catalysis, 10*(15), 8131-8140.

Cai, G., Yan, P., Zhang, L., Zhou, H.-C., & Jiang, H.-L. (2021). Metal–organic framework-based hierarchically porous materials: synthesis and applications. *Chemical Reviews, 121*(20), 12278-12326.

Delgado, Y., Fernández-Morales, F. J., & Llanos, J. (2021). An old technique with a promising future: Recent advances in the use of electrodeposition for metal recovery. *Molecules, 26*(18), 5525.

Elzoghby, A. (2024). *An Elaborated Environmental Life Cycle Assessment on Renewable Energy in Germany.* Hochschule Rhein-Waal,

Esmaeili, H. (2022). A critical review on the economic aspects and life cycle assessment of biodiesel production using heterogeneous nanocatalysts. *Fuel Processing Technology, 230*, 107224.

Gulotta, T. M., Salomone, R., Lanuzza, F., Saija, G., Mondello, G., & Ioppolo, G. (2022). Life Cycle Assessment and Life Cycle Costing of unitized regenerative fuel cell: A systematic review. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 92*, 106698.

Gulotta, T. M., Salomone, R., Mondello, G., Saija, G., Lanuzza, F., & Briguglio, N. (2023). Life Cycle Assessment and Environmental Life Cycle costing of a unitised regenerative fuel cell stack. *Science of The Total Environment, 901*, 166007.

Gupta, S., Patel, P., & Mondal, P. (2022). Life cycle analysis (LCA) and economic evaluation of catalytic fast pyrolysis: implication of co-product's end-usage, catalyst type, and process parameters. *Sustainable Energy & Fuels, 6*(12), 2970-2988.

Hart, A. (2023). Circular economy: closing the catalyst loop with metal reclamation from spent catalysts, industrial waste, waste shells and animal bones. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery, 13*(13), 11483-11498.

Hegab, H., Shaban, I., Jamil, M., & Khanna, N. (2023). Toward sustainable future: Strategies, indicators, and challenges for implementing sustainable production systems. *Sustainable Materials and Technologies, 36*, e00617.

Huang, J., Veksha, A., Chan, W. P., Giannis, A., & Lisak, G. (2022). Chemical recycling of plastic waste for sustainable material management: A prospective review on catalysts and processes. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *154*, 111866.

Islam, M. T., Iyer-Raniga, U., & Trewick, S. (2022). Recycling perspectives of circular business models: a review. *Recycling, 7*(5), 79.

Kandpal, V., Jaswal, A., Santibanez Gonzalez, E. D., & Agarwal, N. (2024). Circular economy principles: shifting towards sustainable prosperity. In *Sustainable Energy Transition: Circular Economy and Sustainable Financing for Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Practices* (pp. 125-165): Springer.

Kar, S., Sanderson, H., Roy, K., Benfenati, E., & Leszczynski, J. (2021). Green chemistry in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals. *Chemical Reviews, 122*(3), 3637-3710.

Khadke, S., Gupta, P., Rachakunta, S., Mahata, C., Dawn, S., Sharma, M., . . . Ramakrishna, S. (2021). Efficient plastic recycling and remolding circular economy using the technology of trust–blockchain. *Sustainability, 13*(16), 9142.

Kiemel, S., Rietdorf, C., Schutzbach, M., & Miehe, R. (2022). How to simplify life cycle assessment for industrial applications—a comprehensive review. *Sustainability, 14*(23), 15704.

Krishnan, S., Zulkapli, N. S., Kamyab, H., Taib, S. M., Din, M. F. B. M., Abd Majid, Z., . . . Nasrullah, M. (2021). Current technologies for recovery of metals from industrial wastes: An overview. *Environmental Technology & Innovation, 22*, 101525.

Lin, F., Xu, M., Ramasamy, K. K., Li, Z., Klinger, J. L., Schaidle, J. A., & Wang, H. (2022). Catalyst deactivation and its mitigation during catalytic conversions of biomass. *ACS Catalysis, 12*(21), 13555-13599.

Lu, H., Hou, L., Zhang, Y., Cao, X., Xu, X., & Shang, Y. (2024). Pilot-scale and large-scale Fenton-like applications with nano-metal catalysts: from catalytic modules to scale-up applications. *Water Research*, 122425.

Meys, R., Kätelhön, A., Bachmann, M., Winter, B., Zibunas, C., Suh, S., & Bardow, A. (2021). Achieving net-zero greenhouse gas emission plastics by a circular carbon economy. *Science, 374*(6563), 71-76.

Rietveld, E., Bastein, T., van Leeuwen, T., Wieclawska, S., Bonenkamp, N., Peck, D., . . . Poitiers, N. (2022). *Strengthening the security of supply of products containing Critical Raw Materials for the green transition and decarbonisation*: European Parliament.

Sayyah, A., Mahmoudi, E., Farhoudi, S., Behmenyar, G., Turan, A. Z., Nabavi, S. R., & Niaei, A. (2022). Environmental assessment of carbon dioxide methanation process using mixed metal oxide and zeolite-supported catalysts by life cycle assessment methodology. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 362*, 132529.

Stratiotou Efstratiadis, V., & Michailidis, N. (2022). Sustainable recovery, recycle of critical metals and rare earth elements from waste electric and electronic equipment (circuits, solar, wind) and their reusability in additive manufacturing applications: A review. *Metals, 12*(5), 794.

Trinh, H. B., Lee, J.-c., Suh, Y.-j., & Lee, J. (2020). A review on the recycling processes of spent auto-catalysts: Towards the development of sustainable metallurgy. *Waste Management, 114*, 148-165.

Wang, Y., Xue, Y., & Zhang, C. (2021). Electrochemical product engineering towards sustainable recovery and manufacturing of critical metals. *Green Chemistry, 23*(17), 6301-6321.

Wu, Q. (2023). Acidic and basic catalytic cracking technologies and its development prospects for crude oil to chemicals. *Fuel, 332*, 126132.

Zhang, S., He, X., Ding, Y., Shi, Z., & Wu, B. (2024). Supply and demand of platinum group metals and strategies for sustainable management. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 204*, 114821.